Avoiding FLSA Pitfalls When Offering Onsite Housing and Rent Discounts

April 20, 2026
By Peter Rauch

Onsite housing and rent discounts are common benefits in the property management industry, but federal wage-and-hour litigation makes clear that these arrangements can create significant Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) exposure if they are not carefully structured. The central question courts ask is not how the benefit is labeled, but whether onsite living primarily benefits the employer and whether it results in unpaid or underpaid work.

When housing benefits blur into compensation or operational control, employers face heightened risk of overtime liability, off-the-clock claims, and retaliation allegations.

Keep Housing Truly Voluntary

Housing benefits pose the least FLSA risk when employees are free to live onsite by choice rather than necessity. Requiring onsite residence as a condition of hire or continued employment strongly suggests that the arrangement exists for the employer's benefit. Employers should ensure that employment offers, policy documents, and actual practices make clear that living onsite is optional and that declining housing has no effect on wages, scheduling, or job security.

Separate Housing Benefits from Compensation

Rent discounts must remain clearly distinct from wages. When discounts vary by job title, seniority, or responsibility, courts are more likely to view the housing as compensation rather than a fringe benefit. Uniform discounts—or discounts that are demonstrably unrelated to performance or availability—are easier to defend. Employers should avoid structuring housing benefits in ways that resemble pay incentives or substitutes for overtime compensation.

Avoid Creating Implicit On-Call Obligations

Employees who live onsite are often perceived—by management or by tenants—as naturally available after hours. Even absent a formal on-call policy, this expectation can give rise to compensable work time. Employers should clearly define work hours, limit after-hours requests, and avoid relying on employees' proximity as a substitute for staffing. Where on-call work is required, it must be clearly documented and compensated in accordance with the FLSA.

Use Arms-Length Leasing Practices

Treating employee residents the same as non-employee tenants reinforces the non-compensatory nature of housing benefits. Requiring standard rental applications, background checks, and lease agreements supports an arms-length relationship and reduces the argument that housing is a condition or incident of employment. Special treatment, guaranteed units, or waived leasing requirements undermine that distinction.

Pay for All Time Worked—Including After Hours

After-hours responses to maintenance calls, tenant complaints, or emergencies frequently constitute compensable work time. Employers should implement reliable timekeeping procedures that allow employees to record this work and should train supervisors to avoid discouraging accurate reporting. Failure to capture and pay for after-hours work remains one of the most frequent sources of FLSA liability in the property management context.

Evaluate Whether Rent Discounts Affect the Regular Rate

When onsite living primarily benefits the employer—by ensuring immediate coverage, enhanced security, or continuous availability—the value of a rent discount may be required to be included in the regular rate of pay for overtime calculations. Employers should evaluate housing arrangements holistically and seek legal guidance before excluding rent discounts from overtime calculations, particularly where onsite residence is expected or strongly encouraged.

Bottom Line

Onsite housing can be a lawful and effective benefit, but only when it functions as a voluntary perk rather than an operational necessity. When housing is mandatory, compensation-linked, or tied to unpaid availability, FLSA risks increase substantially. Careful program design, clear policies, and consistent compensation practices are essential to minimizing wage-and-hour exposure.