

Why Trademark Issues Slow Deals and Launches More Than the USPTO Ever Will

August 6, 2026

By Richard Rimer



When a trademark timeline slips, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is often the first to receive the blame. Applications take months before they are examined. Office Actions interrupt momentum. Publication introduces another waiting period. If an opposition is filed, the timeline extends even further.

Those delays are real, but in my experience, they are rarely the reason a transaction stalls or a product launch is postponed.

More often, the real delay occurred months, or even years earlier, when important trademark decisions were deferred because they did not seem urgent at the time.

By the time financing, acquisition, product launch, or national expansion is on the calendar, those unresolved issues have become immediate business problems. The trademark process itself has not changed. What has changed is the company's tolerance for uncertainty.

For in-house counsel, recognizing this distinction is important. The USPTO follows a predictable process. Internal decision-making often does not. Understanding where delays truly originate allows legal teams to identify and eliminate bottlenecks before they jeopardize business objectives.

Trademark Problems Rarely Appear Overnight

Most trademark issues do not emerge suddenly. They develop gradually.

A company may know that a registration does not cover a new product line but decides to revisit the issue later. A clearance search may identify a potentially conflicting mark, but the business concludes that expansion into that market is still years away. A brand may be used inconsistently across websites, packaging and marketing materials without anyone viewing it as a pressing legal concern.

Individually, these decisions often seem reasonable. Resources are limited, business priorities shift, and not every trademark issue requires immediate action.

The problem is that unresolved issues rarely disappear. They simply remain dormant until another business event makes them impossible to ignore.

When that event arrives, the timeline has already become compressed.

Transactions Have a Way of Exposing Trademark Weaknesses

Corporate transactions are particularly effective at bringing trademark issues into focus.

During due diligence, buyers, investors, and lenders expect intellectual property to be organized, documented, and defensible. Questions that may never have been raised internally suddenly become central to the transaction.

- Who owns the trademark registrations?
- Are key brands properly assigned?
- Do registrations cover the products and services that generate the company's revenue?
- Are there unresolved Office Actions, opposition proceedings, or coexistence agreements that affect the strength of the portfolio?
- Has the company consistently used its marks in a way that preserves their distinctiveness?

These are not obscure legal questions. They directly affect the value of the business being acquired or financed.

The important point is that these issues almost never originate during the transaction itself. They simply become visible because someone outside the organization is evaluating the portfolio with fresh eyes and a lower tolerance for uncertainty.

The due diligence process does not create trademark risk. It reveals trademark risk that already existed.

Product Launches Create the Same Dynamic

Product launches create a similar form of pressure, although the audience is different.

Early in the branding process, changing a proposed product name is usually inexpensive. Marketing materials have not been finalized. Packaging has not been printed. Domain names can still be secured, and advertising campaigns have not yet begun.

As the launch progresses, those options become more expensive. Eventually the company reaches a point where significant investments have already been made. Packaging is in production. Retail partners have committed shelf space. Sales teams have begun customer outreach. Digital marketing campaigns are scheduled to go live. At that stage, even a relatively modest trademark issue can disrupt the entire launch.

Leadership is no longer asking whether the legal risk exists. Instead, the discussion becomes whether the company is willing to absorb the cost of changing course or accept the risk of moving forward.

Neither option is ideal.

Had the trademark issue been identified and resolved earlier, the same legal analysis could have been completed with far less business disruption.

The Real Bottleneck Is Usually Internal Alignment

One of the more interesting aspects of trademark practice is that the USPTO is often the most predictable participant in the process. The agency publishes examination timelines. Filing procedures are well established. Office Actions follow defined rules, and applicants generally know the deadlines for responding.

Internal decision-making rarely operates with the same level of predictability. Trademark issues often require input from legal, marketing, product development, executive leadership, and sometimes outside agencies or investors. Each group may view the issue through a different lens. Marketing may prioritize brand recognition. Product teams may prioritize launch dates.

Business leaders may focus on revenue targets. Legal may focus on protecting long-term brand value and reducing litigation risk. None of these perspectives is wrong, but reaching alignment can take far longer than preparing and filing a trademark application.

When no clear decision-making framework exists, each trademark issue becomes an individual negotiation. Time is spent identifying stakeholders, gathering information, evaluating alternatives, and revisiting questions that could have been addressed months earlier.

That is where many delays occur.

Earlier Conversations Matter More Than Earlier Filings

The solution is not necessarily filing trademark applications earlier in every circumstance. Rather, it is beginning the strategic conversations earlier.

Regular trademark portfolio reviews can identify gaps before they affect a financing or acquisition. Consistent clearance procedures can reduce the likelihood of late-stage naming disputes. Periodic reviews of ownership records, assignments, and registrations help ensure that documentation is complete when diligence begins.

Equally important is establishing clear escalation procedures. When a significant trademark issue arises, decision-makers should understand who needs to be involved, what level of risk requires executive attention, and how competing business objectives will be evaluated.

Organizations that have these processes in place generally make trademark decisions more efficiently because they are not creating the decision-making structure while simultaneously facing a business deadline.

Trademark Strategy Is Really Business Strategy

It is easy to think of trademarks as a legal compliance issue or simply another filing obligation. In reality, trademarks support some of a company's most valuable business assets: its brand identity, customer recognition, goodwill, and market reputation.

When those assets are managed proactively, transactions tend to proceed more smoothly, product launches face fewer last-minute obstacles, and business leaders have greater confidence in the decisions they make.

Conversely, when trademark issues remain unresolved until a deal or launch forces action, legal teams often find themselves responding under compressed timelines with fewer practical options available.

The trademark process itself is usually not what slows the business. More often, the delay results from waiting too long to make decisions that were always going to have to be made.

The companies that move fastest are not necessarily the ones that file the most trademark applications. They are the ones that treat trademark strategy as an ongoing business function rather than a task reserved for moments of crisis. By addressing issues before they become urgent, they preserve flexibility, reduce transaction friction, and keep important business initiatives moving forward.